



SAFE ROADS TO PROSPERITY

ROADS AUTHORITY
Private Bag 12030
Ausspannplatz
Windhoek
NAMIBIA

NOTICE OF AWARD

BID REFERENCE NO: W/ONB/RA-06/2022: CLEANING OF REST PLACE AND ROAD RESERVES IN THE RUNDU REGION

Award Date	Company/Entity Awarded	Awarded Amount
28 May 2023	Naamonga Investments cc (Area 01)	N\$ 4 035 232.93 (inclusive of VAT)
	Waponyo Trading Cc (Area 02)	N\$ 4 069 902.29 (inclusive of VAT)
	Coltan Investments Cc (Area 03)	N\$ 4 079 677.37 (inclusive of VAT)
	Laureth Trading Cc (Area 04)	N\$ 6 212 134.29 (inclusive of VAT)
	Zhylem Trading Enterprises Cc (Area 05)	N\$ 6 729 303.84 (inclusive of VAT)



Established in terms of the Roads Authority Act, 1999 (Act 17 of 1999)

Board of Directors: Ms L. Brandt (Chairperson), Mr P. Muluti (Deputy Chairperson), Ms H. Amupolo, Mr T. Hamata, Mr G. Kadhikwa, Mr P. Greeff, Dr. G. Tshoopara



ROADS AUTHORITY

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY OF BID EVALUATION REPORT

[Cleaning of Rest Places and Road Reserve in the Rundu Region]

Procurement Reference No: *W/ONB/RA-06/2022*

Executive Summary of Bid Evaluation Report

Cleaning of Rest Places and Road Reserve in the Rundu Region W/ONB/RA-06/2022

1. **Scope of Contract:** Works
2. **Procurement method used:** Open National Bidding
3. **Date of Invitation of Bids:** 08 August 2022
4. **Closing date for submission of bids:** 27 October 2022
5. **Date and place of opening of bids:** 27 October 2022 at 10:50
Bids were submitted at the Roads Authority: Head Office, Corner of Mandume Ndemufayo Avenue & David Hosea Meroro Road, Southern Industrial Area, Windhoek
6. **Number of bids received by closing date:** A total number of 274 bids were received.
7. **Responsiveness of bids:**
8% bid responsiveness rate as 23 out of 274 received bids were responsive.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
1	NAMSHINE INVESTMENT CC	4,367,619.96 4,201,904.96 4,081,810.92 6,182,194.78 6,738,193.28	Yes	N/A
2	MATUTURA INVESTMENT CC	2,831,904.90 3,367,986.60 3,028,349.28 4,336,219.17 4,080,988.67	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
3	AISHAA INVESTMENTS CC	4,513,812.47 4,488,584.73 4,605,771.54 6,819,631.64 7,277,351.87	No	The bid submission form is not accurately duplicated onto the bidder's letterhead in the book of supporting documents. Missing the discount table and no indication of discount offered or not. Bidder did not submit a valid Bid Submission Form as it is not duly filled in. (Ref Criteria 1)
4	XAM INVESTMENT CC	3,853,038.00 4,031,023.00 3,916,867.00 5,600,324.00 6,088,399.00	No	Bidder has not submitted a duly filled in Financial Capacity Ceiling Declaration. (Ref criteria 3)
5	SCORPIO-CHEMICALS CC	4,175,410.80 4,205,419.13 4,312,884.68 6,531,816.43 7,029,731.25	No	Home Built trailer not accompanied by a motor vehicle registration certificate, only have motor vehicle license. Letter of consent, not addressed to the bidder,

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				The Bank letter does not include a provision for working capital.
6	DIBA-SUVE INVESTMENT	3,970,335.75 3,940,159.78 4,286,495.23 6,868,238.69 6,728,995.52	No	No bank confirmation is attached. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 4&7 & 8)
7	SMEK TRADING ENTERPRISES	4,193,496.25 4,195,210.07 4,217,858.63 6,325,038.62 6,804,501.79	Yes	Amounts in words for Areas 2,3,4 & 5 not filled in. The discount table is not filled in. Thus bid submission not duly completed. (Ref Criteria 1)
8	SEPTEMBER CLEANING MAINTENANCE SERVICES CC	5,378,387.85 5,626,871.80 5,287,953.00 7,071,520.78 7,750,947.22	No	Discount tables not duly filled in. The bidder who signed is not duly authorized. No power of attorney Supporting documents not initialled, (Ref Criteria, 1,4,6)
9	BEAVER INVESTMENTS CC	3,804,135.32 4,198,334.62 4,115,644.10 5,799,148.30 6,231,396.07	No	Bidder has listed tools of the 10: Quotations are provided but no bank letter for the acquisition of tools. No registration certificate in respect of MV for the Box Body trailer. No Relevant Work Experience is indicated.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				The Bank letter does not include a provision for working capital. No letter for no sub-contracting (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 4&7, 8 & 10) No paint and wire brushes, no rakes as per the list of mandatory tools listed in the asset register
10	MAPERES INVESTMENTS CC	4,324,335.63 4,444,242.13 4,286,684.25 6,184,914.79 7,477,002.90	No	Buckets and watering cans are indicated to be hired however not appear on the list from the hiring company. No registration certificate in respect of MV (LDV) & Box body Trailer The Bank letter does not include a provision for working capital. Provision by the accountant to submit financials not indicated. (Ref criteria 2&5, 4&7 & 8)
11	MURUTA RURAL EMPOWERMENT CC	3,418,930.68 3,570,389.13 3,402,733.62 3,533,704.13 3,543,282.71	No	The bid submission form is not accurately duplicated onto the bidder's letterhead in the supporting documents. Missing the discount table and no indication of discount offered or not Not all pages in the supporting documents are initialised (Ref Criteria 1 & 6)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
12	WEST CIVIL TRADING CC	3,842,452.68 3,952,133.24 3,805,136.45 5,389,193.45 5,435,305.51	No	The letter from the bank refers to a performance guarantee, with no mention of working capital. No undertaking to not subcontract works (Ref criteria 4&7 & 10)
13	BROWN BROTHERS TRADING CC	5,212,394.55 5,433,002.96 4,259,538.36 5,076,147.09 5,150,111.01	No	Registration Certificate in Respect of Vehicle & Lease agreement in respect of trailer, as well as motor vehicle license disc not initialled, (submitted twice and all not initialled) (Ref criteria 6)
14	FUSION INVESTMENTS CC	5,829,499.50 6,633,672.65 5,602,750.15 6,176,469.43 6,820,610.30	No	No license renewal for the box body trailer No CV attached. The accountant letter not stipulating the period by when financial statements will be availed to the RA as per requirements, namely: within One month of their compilation. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 & 8)
15	HNL INVESTMENTS CC	3,971,573.10 4,036,257.50 4,061,602.60 6,182,169.68 6,730,142.54	No	Incorrect procurement ref number SSC good standing referring to W/ONB/RA-05/2022 instead of W/ONB/RA-06/2022 (Ref criteria 4)
16	MIND FOCUS INVESTMENTS CC	1,438,916.17 - - - -	No	Bid submission not duly filled in (not signed, no evidence of signature) though on a letter head Due to the absence of the founding statement

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				and the not signed bid submission form. (Ref criteria 1, 2 & 5)
17.	MUNDU MUNDU T INVESTMENT CC	3,686,313.25 4,018,050.72 3,716,930.40 5,631,150.79 5,915,437.63	No	SSC Good standing bears an incorrect procurement ref number, not related to RA. (Ref criteria 4)
18.	B-TECH INVESTMENTS CC	4,670,623.05 4,770,815.49 4,999,570.48 7,698,763.83 8,382,751.85	No	The asset register is not signed, No registration certificate for the leased trailer. No CV for the proposed Site Agent as per the personnel forms. Accountant letter not stipulating the period by when financial statements will be availed to the RA as per requirements, namely: within One month of their compilation, training & Bookkeeping. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 & 8)
19.	HUPA MOUKOHOK CLEANING SERVICES CC	4,525,639.97 4,670,325.61 4,477,230.95 6,513,994.23 7,315,504.49	No	Financial Capacity Ceiling Declaration Form not filled in nor signed (Ref criteria 3)
20.	KAVANGO FENCING AND IRRIGATION CC	5,554,020.45 4,416,001.15 4,474,350.54 6,739,132.47 7,335,107.56	Yes	N/A
21.	QATAR INVESTMENT CC	3,969,015.93 4,140,700.72 4,155,473.39	No	No ID for the Site Agent has been attached (Ref criteria 3&6)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		6,297,813.08 6,709,882.07		
22.	ZONZEL INVESTMENTS CC	4,349,135.00 4,500,498.48 4,279,814.32 6,083,027.94 6,429,283.50	No	The site agent is a foreigner, with no Namibian ID The letter from Accountant does not refer to the required accounting conditions. (Ref 3&6 & 8)
23.	LETA CONSTRUCTION (LELA)	4,343,995.33 4,729,205.03 4,648,782.40 6,634,563.67 7,183,872.26	No	Incorrect procurement ref number on SSC good standing certificate (Ref criteria 4)
24.	SOLANGE INVESTMENT CC	9,557,517.75 7,011,741.94 5,688,173.70 5,525,816.64 7,156,487.03	No	Asset register does not include Picks, Scrubbing brushes, buckets, paint and wire brushes and watering cans. (Ref criteria 2&5)
25	SOWETO CLEANING SERVICES CC	5,855,874.75 5,111,280.57 4,880,294.10 6,245,608.60 7,229,392.78	No	Accountant letter addressed to "Ministry" the letter also does not refer to the aspect of training in bookkeeping. No undertaking in respect of no subcontracting requirements. Ref criteria 8 & 10)
26.	SHIVELI TRADING ENTERPRISES	4,679,945.04 4,419,706.71 4,368,994.13 6,586,036.20 7,155,168.27	No	No Asset register attached Completion of financial statements and submission thereof within one month of compilation not indicated in letter from accountant. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING \$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
27.	MANYUMBWA INVESTMENTS CC	2,916,050.21 3,134,187.69 3,191,540.62 4,682,030.87 5,081,234.71	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
28.	LAURETH TRADING ENTERPRISES	6,734,888.54 6,721,277.64 6,539,311.80 6,212,134.29 6,781,289.07	Yes	N/A
29.	MANASI INVESTMENT CC	1,542,810.50 1,937,809.50 2,051,789.85 3,033,280.25 3,012,552.95	No	Financial Capacity Ceiling Declaration Form not duly filled in, both maximum amounts not indicated nor the 10% equivalent book of supporting documents has several pages not initialled. (ref Criteria 3 & 6)
30.	KAKONDA HAULANGE CC	3,743,751.03 4,239,277.59 4,181,655.28 5,816,906.59 6,390,955.11	No	The letter from Accountant does not refer to the required accountant activities. (Ref criteria 8)
31.	RUCARA ISLAND INVESTMENTS CC	3,143,759.03 3,517,693.03 3,476,466.68 4,649,750.06 5,466,027.05	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
32.	PENATU TRADING CC	3,968,815.48 3,938,638.45 4,133,145.73 5,700,833.55	No	No Trailer Box body is listed on the asset register

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		6,161,319.02		No consent letter for the Site agent, no ID, and no CV No Accountant letter No undertaking letter in respect to zero subcontracting requirements is provided. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 8 & 10)
33.	DINALEDI INVESTMENT CC	- - - 6,188,744.67 6,729,633.02	Yes	N/A
34..	MPALALA INVESTMENT CC	4,313,472.90 4,267,477.50 3,904,352.93 4,166,333.29 5,420,156.89	No	Duplicate copies of the bid submission form are not dully filled in referring to "See attached" while there's no attached" Duplicate copies of bid securing declaration not dully filled in referring to "See attached" while there's no attached" Duplicate copies of the Financial Capacity ceiling declaration forms are not dully filled in referring to "See attached" while there's nothing attached" the bidder attached a template for authorization, however, not dully filled in. No dates, no names, no signatures, no authorization.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				Due to the incomplete authorization letter Authorization cannot be ascertained (Ref Criteria 1,2,3,4,5 & 6)
35.	ROYAL CONTRACTORS	4,425,541.60 4,110,916.82 5,036,222.41 5,997,677.08 7,986,126.60	No	No rakes indicated on Asset Register The motor vehicle license disc for LDV expired on 2021-11-30 No letter of undertaking for sub-contracting (ref criteria 2&5 & 10)
36.	AMPROMA INVESTMENT CC	3,694,634.90 4,101,546.19 4,048,909.54 5,811,574.53 6,343,023.53	Yes	N/A
37.	KENIE'S TRADING CC	5,327,686.65 5,036,187.64 4,521,901.89 4,723,389.32 5,063,548.58	No	Letter from Accountant does not refer to submission of Financial statements within one month of preparation for submission to the RA. (Ref criteria 8)
38.	DESTINY PLANNER INVESTMENTS CC	2,519,700.00 3,152,500.00 5,343,420.00 10,780,784.00 11,311,960.00	No	Bank statements are submitted only for a single month as compared to the required six (6) months. No letter from an accountant submitted as far as it relates to this bid. (Ref criteria 4&7 & 8)
39.	TUNO TRADING	4,511,319.91 4,481,167.68	No	No LDV listed on the Asset register as per the requirements (Ref criteria 2&5)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		4,951,127.78 6,815,767.83 7,273,384.79		
40.	THIA TRADING	4,033,328.28 3,984,904.08 4,110,627.11 6,185,634.09 6,734,772.72	No	No LDV is listed on the Asset register as per requirements. (Ref criteria 2&5)
41.	LHU INVESTMENT CC	3,729,227.49 4,070,052.26 3,776,881.91 5,779,749.79 6,018,941.43	No	Letter from Accountant, no training on bookkeeping indicated nor timely submissions of the financial statements within one month of being prepared indicated. Ref criteria 8)
42.	CISSY CLASSIC INVESTMENT CC	3,900,834.96 4,052,653.94 3,756,813.82 5,493,239.98 6,133,100.77	No	Page 1 of the bid submission form is not initialled (Ref criteria 6)
43.	NPE TRADING CC	4,553,237.58 4,478,687.97 4,598,152.55 6,861,391.40 7,486,266.46	Yes	N/A
44.	LILIRA INVESTMENT CC	4,829,175.45 5,443,383.55 5,249,164.31 6,862,918.61 7,370,895.68	No	No Power of Attorney submitted for the person who signed the bid. The person who signed the bid is not duly authorized

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				Book of supporting documents not initialled. (Ref criteria 4, 5 & 6)
45.	NDAHOMENWA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	3,940,124.90 3,907,329.69 4,123,428.02 6,150,624.19 6,741,114.18	Yes	N/A
46.	JACOB'S AUTO TRUCK, TRACTOR AND EARTHMOVING REPAIRS	3,527,113.48 4,192,790.64 3,664,253.40 3,652,531.98 4,036,756.68	No	Discount tables not duly filled in. The discount table is struck out and not initialled thus bid submission not duly filled in (Ref criteria 1)
47.	VULTUNE INVESTMENT CC	3,828,173.76 3,893,195.30 3,927,262.24 5,517,956.83 5,769,880.94	No	Letter from Accountant, no training on bookkeeping indicated nor timely submissions of the financial statement within one month of being prepared is indicated. (Ref criteria 8)
48.	TREFFY TRADING CC	4,600,613.23 4,751,173.53 4,732,557.79 6,657,933.88 7,224,940.23	No	No lease agreement between the landlord (who provided a fitness certificate) and the tenant as per the requirements. No Asset register, plant, or equipment intended to be purchased has no financing letter attached though the bidder intends to purchase. No Works related experience (relevant) by the site agent.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				No declaration submitted to not sub-contract works. (Ref criteria 1, 2&5, 3&6 & 10)
49.	ESTADIO INVESTMENT CC	3,926,762.40 3,989,923.85 4,188,560.48 6,171,816.09 6,733,520.37	Yes	N/A
50.	MAGNATE CIVIL ENGINEERING	4,163,560.53 4,006,479.16 4,062,723.59 6,298,761.83 6,735,776.62	No	Qualification of Site Agent not submitted The Bank statement attached is not for 3 months as required, The other attached bank letter from FNB refers only to the performance guarantee. (Ref criteria 3&6, & 4&7)
51.	TCG TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	3,977,833.61 3,944,265.57 4,063,461.56 6,185,340.18 6,730,044.52	No	The attached quotation for the Zebra Trailer is not accompanied by a Letter of credit from a financial institution as per ITB 6.2 (i) The lease agreement in place for the use of the LDV, refers to Contract W/ONB/RA-04/2022 which refers to Otiwarongo Region and the license disc provided has expired at the time of submission. (Ref criteria 2&5)
52.	DUNEDIN INVESTMENTS CC	2,603,597.70 2,739,863.50 2,166,679.35 3,011,154.77 2,979,998.45	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
53.	AMTSHILA INVESTMENT CC	4,426,723.29 4,518,352.30 4,076,547.26 5,200,983.74 5,189,768.76	No	No qualifications nor ID attached for Site Agent The letter from Accountant does not indicate the timely submission of the financial statements within one month of preparation to the RA No Letter of consent attached No Undertaking letter is attached. (Ref criteria 3&6, 8, 9 & 10)
54	GIDLEMAR INVESTMENT CC	- 5,124,858.84 5,070,451.50 20,147,357.28 16,001,242.58	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15. Bid submission not duly filled in. No amounts in the tables and no discount is indicated. Financial Capacity Ceiling Declaration Form not duly filled in, no amount indicated for maximum amount nor the 10% limit.
55.	UTMOST -ZONE INVESTMENTS CC	3,725,720.97 4,107,427.00 4,069,526.83 5,734,525.31 6,288,111.33	No	Bid validity not indicated (Ref criteria 1, 3 & 8) No bank confirmation letter is attached. (Ref criteria 8)
56.	INEKAH TRADING CC	4,028,865.02 3,975,953.07 4,105,429.07 6,179,977.41	No	The wrong procurement reference number on SSC good standing certificate which bears this W/ONB/RA-05/2022 (ref criteria 4)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		6,708,702.67		
57.	COLTAN INVESTMENTS CC	4,100,904.98 4,087,785.26 3,898,569.35 5,943,218.47 6,451,385.54	Yes	N/A
58.	SHAWAPALA INVESTMENT CC	2,126,339.00 2,360,943.00 2,071,045.00 2,435,467.00 2,478,704.00	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15. Financial Capacity Ceiling Declaration Form not duly filled in, amounts not filled in. (Ref criteria 3)
59.	PAMOHR CONSTRUCTION	4,110,731.32 3,822,333.61 4,129,645.60 6,069,708.63 6,591,942.46	No	Financial Capacity Ceiling Declaration Form is not duly filled in, the maximum combined value and the 10% provision are indicated as "N/A" (Ref Criteria 3)
60.	LEO MAYA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,190,009.41 4,597,712.33 4,547,577.34 6,334,787.64 7,049,455.28	No	Letter from Accountant does not stipulate their preparation of annual financial statements. Does not stipulate the timely submission of the Annual Financials one month after preparation. Does not indicate training in bookkeeping. (Ref criteria 8)
61.	FRST INVESTMENT CC	4,722,983.23 3,974,402.30 4,091,863.88 5,385,602.15	No	Bid submission not duly filled in, amounts not provided.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		5,901,047.90		CC documents, EEC and other supporting documents not initialled. (Ref criteria 3 & 6)
62.	BAOBAB SECURITY SERVICES	5,054,275.82 5,277,695.04 4,974,695.04 6,392,038.37 7,032,967.46	No	Letter from Accountant does not stipulate their preparation of annual financial statements. Does not stipulate the timely submission of the Annual Financials one month after preparation. Does not indicate training in bookkeeping. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 8 & 10)
63.	SUMSENO CONTRACTING CC	3,822,437.83 3,840,572.89 3,911,092.83 5,806,325.17 6,311,340.53	No	No Power of Attorney The individual who signed the document is not duly authorized. Not signed nor initialled by an authorized person (Ref criteria 4, 5 & 6)
64.	THEO AND ITWA TRADING CC	3,968,952.90 4,111,272.00 4,061,044.68 6,182,092.84 6,729,351.27	No	Letter from Accountant not indicating the timely submission of the financial statements within one month of being prepared. (Ref criteria 8)
65.	BENIZ TRADING CC	3,968,864.51 3,938,641.69 4,060,698.99 6,182,125.43 6,729,312.58	No	Incorrect Procurement reference number indicated on SSC good standing certificate (Ref criteria 4)
66.	MUKAPA INVESTMENT CC	3,475,311.02 3,252,204.50 3,239,658.37	No	Bidder submitted a Certification of Registration from Rundu Town Council and not a Fitness certificate

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		3,693,628.96 3,699,640.86		3 of 10 Tools are not indicated on the Asset Register. (Watering Cans, Buckets, and Scrubbing brushes. No Letter from Accountant is attached. (Ref criteria 1, 2&5 & 8)
67.	OMIDI TRADING CC	3,750,389.78 3,741,240.66 3,834,023.43 5,841,482.95 6,356,113.43	No	Bid submission form not duly completed, amounts not in words (Ref criteria 1)
68.	SUPERMENKA INVESTMENT CC	4,365,957.75 4,146,113.40 4,367,984.28 6,419,418.84 6,944,379.42	No	Employment Equity Commission Certificate not valid as of the date of submission. The issue date is 29 September 2021 and validity is for 12 Months from the date of issue. (Ref criteria 5)
69.	SINDISA INVESTMENT CC	4,175,805.48 4,287,429.08 4,085,249.19 6,113,283.65 6,077,710.21	No	4 of the 10 tools are not listed in the asset register. (scrubbing brushes, shovels, pointed steel rods, and paint and wire brushes) Letter from Accountant not indicating the timely submission of the financial statements within one month of being prepared. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
70.	MSHANI CONSTRUCTION CC	3,746,998.98 3,718,504.78 3,833,118.82 5,808,449.33 6,322,860.94	No	Rakes not listed on Asset Register. Letter from Accountant not indicating the timely submission of the financial statements within one month of being prepared.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				No training for bookkeeping is indicated as per requirements. No Undertaking to subcontract works submitted. (Ref criteria 2&5, 8 & 10)
71.	ISHAKA INVESTMENTS CC	3,977,301.17 4,359,630.22 4,066,896.57 6,195,644.84 6,736,692.99	No	Paint and Wire brushes are not indicated accordingly on the Asset register. Although the bidder has indicated intentions to purchase for "Paint" however, no quotation is attached and accompanying banking letter. Letter from Accountant not indicating the timely submission of the financial statements within one month of being prepared. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
72.	SIB INVESTMENT CC	4,211,140.73 3,831,128.40 4,197,991.05 6,124,740.38 7,681,712.50	No	Document signed by an unduly authorized individual. The owner of the entity did not sign the Power of Attorney. The individual who signed the document signed the power of authority, while the owner did not sign the said document. (Ref criteria 4&5)
73.	ZENELA INVESTMENTS CC	4,800,386.58 4,536,972.59 4,532,770.77 6,753,177.71 7,642,750.50	No	CV and qualification of key site personnel not initialled. (Ref criteria 6)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
74.	LARISSA INVESTMENTS CC	3,970,972.63 4,238,263.59 4,075,126.66 6,185,197.89 6,729,559.90	No	Bid submission form not duly filled in, copied unto their letter head however, provisions for discounts not filled in. (Ref criteria 1)
75.	KULISHUKA INVESTMENT	4,378,900.98 3,606,894.50 3,577,375.73 - -	No	The summary table for area 4 & 5 not completed and appears to not apply to the bidder as per the summary sheet of the BOQ The first page of the Labour Act Compliance forms is not initialled. Amongst others, CVs of key personnel, Asset Register (ref criteria 1&6)
76.	WINDSHEAR INVESTMENTS (PTY) LTD	4,222,148.50 4,054,409.50 4,146,309.22 6,321,463.52 6,989,768.89	No	Letter from Accountant not indicating the timely submission of the financial statement within one month of being prepared. (Ref criteria 8)
77.	HIAMUTITI U INVESTMENT CC	3,877,740.82 3,851,885.79 3,733,522.43 5,680,104.10 5,795,082.17	No	The Accountant letter does not indicate the required conditions as per ITB 6.2(f) (Ref criteria 8)
78.	EVERSINCE INVESTMENT	3,849,845.83 3,959,433.69 3,847,531.79 5,551,213.00 6,030,268.16	No	Power of attorney only signed by one member In light of criteria number 4, the individual signing the bid is not duly authorized (no evidence to that effect has been presented) as the Power of attorney is only signed by one member

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				In light of criteria number 4, the individual signing the bid is not duly authorized (no evidence to that effect has been presented) as the Power of attorney is only signed by one member (Ref criteria 4, 5 & 6)
79.	FRESH UNIT INVESTMENT CC	4,049,356.08 3,766,451.48 4,061,803.68 6,158,470.97 6,293,628.00	No	The power of attorney provided, however, is not signed by the owner of the entity. Thus, not a valid PoA; the person who signed the bid did so with a power of attorney not signed by the owner. (Ref criteria 4, 5 & 6)
80.	NU-LINE ROAD SERVICES CC	4,728,418.20 5,312,984.82 4,902,547.98 6,255,692.67 6,701,038.96	No	Clause B refers to the price summary of contract amounts not indicated below clause (B). The Bid Submission form, is incorrectly copied, hence not duly filled in. (Ref criteria 1)
81.	HORIZONTE COMMERCIAL (PTY) LTD	4,090,401.28 3,956,827.40 4,073,775.39 6,193,254.61 6,759,469.69	No	No fitness certificate submitted. No license disc is attached for LDV. The letter from the accountant does not specify the functions as stipulated in the ITB 6,2 (f) (Ref criteria 1, 2&5 & 8)
82.	MANGALANGADJA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	3,346,908.41 3,308,045.08 3,123,147.62 4,697,398.63 5,029,439.12	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
83.	PLANARIA RECYCLING (PTY) LTD	3,266,056.70 3,723,834.90 3,499,544.07	No	Bid submission not duly filled in: amounts in words not filled in, no discount filled in,

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		5,863,969.23 6,424,838.23		no bid validity period is indicated. Not duly filled in. no date, no procurement ref number is filled in and the two (2) amounts are not filled in. The following material documents of the bid have not been initialled: CV of key personnel, EEC, BOQ (Ref criteria 1, 3, 6 & 8)
84	NEVER ALONE INVESTMENT CC	3,762,216.13 4,094,381.83 3,810,289.49 5,804,135.17 6,070,842.45	No	The bidder's copy of ID is not initialled as per ITB Clause 21.1 (Ref Criteria 6)
85	GEN INVESTMENTS CC	4,012,809.57 3,965,296.48 4,107,608.31 6,250,946.14 6,804,212.11	No	Both LDV and Trailer as listed in the Asset Register have long expired (LDV 2022-01-13; Trailer 2022-02-28) No indication of timely submission of financial statements within one month thereof. No consent letter is attached to seek reference from bidder's banker (Ref criteria 2&5, 8 & 9).
86	NOBLE MARU INVESTMENTS CC	4,359,938.12 4,336,793.68 4,432,878.02 6,472,446.62 7,033,003.42	Yes	N/A
87	NEERU INVESTMENTS CC	3,968,539.31 3,934,170.24	Yes	N/A

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		4,058,475.50 6,137,612.34 6,791,865.71		
88	DELTA ENGINEERING SUPPLIES CC	4,168,116.05 4,205,899.32 4,156,461.61 6,548,501.92 6,997,685.51	Yes	N/A
89	LINGES TRADING CC	3,835,902.12 3,943,942.12 3,833,567.73 5,527,293.81 6,003,367.69	No	JV has only indicated one bank account of one of the entities. No Bank confirmation for JV. (Ref criteria 7)
90	ZHYLEM TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	6,733,023.68 6,733,023.68 6,718,700.08 6,262,135.61 6,729,303.84	Yes	N/A
91	MENELL INVESTMENTS	4,137,605.01 4,958,694.25 4,573,597.38 5,759,144.61 7,132,820.15	No	Several pages in the book of supporting documents are not initialled. (Ref criteria 6)
92	ACIENT INVESTMENT CC	4,414,723.50 4,365,287.30 4,203,797.40 5,759,180.17 6,171,279.73	No	Asset register excludes pointed steel rods and scrubbing brushes. The letter from Accountant does not specify the functions as stipulated in the ITB 6.2 (f) (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
93	AJ ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION CC	4,011,884.25 3,938,972.18 4,061,348.28 6,182,090.41 6,729,310.88	No	Incorrect procurement ref number on SSC good standing certificate. Submitted EEC certificate was issued on 28 September 2021 and is indicated to be valid for 12 months, at the date of submission it is not valid. (Ref criteria 4 & 5)
94	SILK LEAF INVESTMENT CC	2,613,142.78 2,741,949.42 2,776,712.26 4,013,943.92 4,368,518.55	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
95	NESINDANO INVESTMENT CC	6,931,461.24 5,163,035.26 6,720,159.44 4,965,549.03 5,071,794.61	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
96	SEMPEKA TRADING CC	4,347,401.30 4,403,636.02 4,602,387.65 7,060,307.02 7,693,239.18	No	The labor undertaking is not signed in both the bidding document or the reproduced copy in the book of supporting documents. (Ref Criteria 5 & 6)
97	MIND POWER INVESTMENT CC	3,977,259.43 4,359,588.48 4,066,854.83 6,194,600.38 6,736,108.56	No	The letter from Accountant does not specify the requirement of ITB 6.2 (f) (Ref criteria 8)
98	AMALINDA TRADING CC	3,747,937.51 3,798,233.97 4,081,443.18	No	Asset register refers to the intent to purchase as a form of acquisition, but no bank letter to that effect

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		6,181,551.25 6,601,771.07		No indication of timely submission of monthly statements within one month of preparation No indication of bookkeeping training. No submission for undertaking to not subcontract works. (Ref criteria 2&5, 8 & 10)
99	GEMZTONE TRADING CC	3,630,378.90 3,732,722.51 3,628,196.81 5,229,367.17 5,682,372.54	No	No timely submissions of financial statements are indicated within one month of preparation thereof. (Ref criteria 8)
100	NSZ INVESTMENTS CC	4,056,724.07 4,022,227.52 3,620,454.67 6,317,415.81 6,891,180.28	No	LDV is not accompanied by a motor vehicle registration certificate. Trailer: Does not have the motor vehicle license No timely submissions of financial statements are indicated within one month of preparation thereof. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
101	MECCA ALPHA MAYENZERE INVESTMENT CC	3,719,103.04 3,721,464.54 3,840,488.39 5,753,694.73 6,237,932.07	No	No fitness Certificate nor Municipal bill submitted. (Ref criteria 1)
102	SEMC TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	3,995,532.43 3,863,286.04 3,754,698.12 5,047,529.25 5,472,425.30	No	3 of 10 not listed: (pointed steel rods, paint and wire brushes, and scrubbing brushes) Intent to purchase 7 of 10 required tools but no bank letter referencing the accompanying said quotation. (Ref criteria 2 & 5)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
103	PANDU'S TRADING ENTREPRISES CC	4,044,833.96 3,939,019.24 4,088,377.79 6,183,317.93 6,998,327.37	No	The entity has two (2) members, and the issued power of attorney only contains the signature of one member, though the document contains to sets of initials. The entity has two (2) members, and the issued power of attorney only contains the signature of one member, though the document contains to sets of initials. The entity has two (2) members, and the issued power of attorney only contains the signature of one member, though the document contains to sets of initials. (Ref criteria 4, 5 & 6)
104	TUCKER BUILDERS 3 CIVIL WORKS	4,441,083.57 4,686,603.37 4,547,796.69 6,589,032.57 7,421,595.36	No	Two (2) pages of the book of supporting documents are not initialled. (Ref criteria 6)
105	MZALEE INVESTMENT CC	4,815,462.26 4,877,933.49 5,098,694.42 7,840,542.91 8,542,927.37	No	The amount indicated under clause (d) does not speak to the requirements. The amount stipulated is equal to the amount of one of the areas. Thus, not duly completed. The book of supporting documents has not been initialled. (Ref Criteria 1 & 6)
106	OIHOLE ENGINEERING	3,389,737.83 3,509,451.87	No	Power of attorney only comprises 1 member's signature.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		3,352,927.85 4,310,251.58 6,048,504.65		Power of attorney only comprises 1 member's signature. Only one member has signed and initialled the document. Thus not duly authorized. Power of attorney only comprises 1 member's signature. Only one member has signed and initialled the document. Thus not duly authorized. (Ref criteria 4, 5 & 6)
107	WENXI INVESTMENT CC	4,323,196.45 4,365,569.90 4,455,172.13 6,331,035.89 6,904,321.80	No	No ID submitted of the Entity's Owner Incorrect Procurement Reference number indicated (General Purpose) and not (W/ONB/RA-06/2022) on the SSC good standing certificate. (Ref criteria 1 & 4)
108	BONGA TRADING CC	4,036,119.90 4,006,176.21 4,112,702.63 6,262,778.48 6,816,892.23	No	Tools not listed in the Asset Register. Qualifications of site agent are copies of certified documents (ITB 6.2(e) Accountant letter not indicative of timely submission of financial statements within one month of preparation. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 & 8)
109	CENTURION INVESTMENT	3,843,792.07 3,824,942.95 3,925,554.42 5,925,777.06 6,448,425.86	No	No fitness certificate List of tools listed in the Asset Register, accompanied by a quotation as intent to

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				purchase but no bank letter referencing the said quotation The trailer listed is accompanied by a quotation but no letter to that effect. Bidder provided a letter for a Performance guarantee rather than working capital. (ref criteria 1, 2&5 & 4&7)
110	IMMACULATE INVESTMENTS CC	- 3,709,857.91 3,780,824.92 3,810,768.98 -	Yes	N/A
111	FMM TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	3,698,644.95 41,140,610.65 4,007,447.90 5,312,400.14 5,835,329.89	No	Asset register refers to the intent to purchase listed tools, but no bank letter to that effect. Trailer: NaTIS documents accompanying the lease agreement do not correspond to the ownership as stated in both documents (lease and registration certificate) No ID, qualification for Site Agent Only one month of bank statements was submitted. Letter of Accountant not submitted nor a bank confirmation. No undertaking was submitted for zero subcontracting. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 4&7, 8 & 10)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
112	CARAT INVESTMENTS CC	4,315,135.11 4,438,272.74 4,471,964.24 6,574,000.90 7,386,407.11	No	Social Security Good standing that is a copy is not initialed. (Ref criteria 6)
113	LITO TRADING CC JV NGODJI ONE TRADING CC	3,379,356.42 3,774,861.20 3,733,604.49 5,034,769.51 5,564,213.82	No	Wages Undertaking, was reproduced but does not site Section 138 of the labour Act. (Ref criteria 6)
114	HAIWOODI INVESTMENT	5,528,000.00 5,528,000.00 5,528,000.00 5,530,000.00 5,530,000.00	No	Bid submission not duly completed, no amounts indicated both numeric, in words. No discount is indicated, and no bid validity is indicated. Letter from accountant not initialed, EEC and qualification as part of the CV. No Bid validity indicated. (Ref criteria 1, 6 & 8)
115	NGOMA CIVIL ENGINEERING WORK & RENOVATION CC	3,149,839.37 3,471,958.91 2,981,112.41 4,084,151.72 4,196,722.77	No	Financial capacity ceiling declaration not duly filled in, 10% Maximum combined value not indicated. Following documents not initialed: GS TAX, GS SSC, EEC, Accounting letter, consent letter for use of cv/application letter, CV for site agent, BOQ, and others (Ref criteria 3&6)
116	MWAKU INVESTMENT CC	4,414,723.50 4,365,287.30 4,203,797.40 5,759,180.17 6,171,279.73	No	CC not initialed, Good standing for Income Tax and SCC not initialed, EEC not initialed, Site Agent letter of consent not signed by a person duly authorized to sign and ID also not initialed and qualifications, CV not signed, Fitness Certificate not initialed (Ref Criteria 6)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
117	FILLEMON INVESTMENTS &TRUCKS CC	3,968,880.45 3,938,714.25 4,060,685.37 6,182,092.71 6,729,317.96	Yes	N/A
118	KHORAB BRICKS & BETON CC	2,885,903.96 2,712,561.01 2,146,030.76 2,298,085.46 2,433,971.32	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
119	EFINDANO MAINTENANCE & CONSTRUCTION SERVICES	4,337,783.67 4,214,660.21 3,981,584.97 5,893,741.77 6,370,686.61	No	The Standard bank confirmation issued letter is not an original as requested. (Ref criteria 8)
120	EBDINA TRADING ENTERPRISES	- 4,131,409.04 4,063,538.00 5,404,512.50 5,885,517.50	No	No license disc for both the LDV and Trailer (only the registration certificates) Consent letter to use CV not originally signed, No qualifications submitted for Site Agent, (Ref criteria 2&5 & 3&6)
121	ORTNER CONSTRUCTION CC	4,150,875.17 3,936,205.17 3,991,223.81 5,834,801.32 6,277,929.98	No	Asset Register does not include Slashers, No Motor Vehicle Registration Certificate nor Motor Vehicle License disc for LDV are submitted. CV Submitted, however, minimum grade required not submitted. The bidder submitted a grade 10 certificate. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 3&6)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
122	BOESMANPAN TRADING CC	5,210,357.39 5,754,188.99 4,118,948.79 6,018,951.72 7,013,949.36	No	Financial capacity ceiling declaration not duly filled in, maximum combined amount and the 10% of the said amount missing (Ref criteria 3)
123	GWASHITOKO INVESTMENT CC	3,822,954.35 3,903,525.11 3,944,546.91 5,276,582.13 5,788,786.99	No	As per ITB 5.2 bidder has submitted 2 (Bid Submission forms and BOQs) Thus disqualified. (Ref criteria 1)
124	TOPAZ CONSTRUCTION & ENG	4,436,854.80 4,602,192.07 4,495,328.99 6,648,899.48 7,360,773.03	No	Bid securing declaration not duly filled in. One of the two dates in the bid declaration is not inserted. (Ref criteria 2)
125	POWER CONSTRUCTIONS CC	3,968,885.00 3,939,028.88 4,060,782.90 6,182,949.45 6,729,404.02	No	The letter from Accountant does not stipulate the required function as per ITB 6.2 (f) (Ref criteria 8)
126	BAPZ TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,250,913.66 4,503,769.27 4,509,883.50 6,590,798.55 7,133,138.60	No	In the Book of Supporting documents, the following substantive documents are not initialled: CC, EEC, CV of Site Agent and qualifications, Fitness Certificate (Ref criteria 6)
127	REX REGIS TRADING CC	4,038,813.57 4,362,602.97 4,338,656.52 6,265,108.32 6,738,934.57	Yes	N/A

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
128	SOUND CLOUD INVESTMENTS	3,969,158.24 3,938,663.80 4,060,680.28 6,182,120.63 6,729,340.62	No	The letter from Accountant does not stipulate the required function as per ITB 6.2 (f) No letter of undertaking for zero subcontracting of the work was submitted. (Ref criteria 8 & 10)
129	VINLAH MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS	4,409,785.52 4,376,262.69 4,511,846.00 6,868,978.17 7,477,002.90	No	The accounting letter does not stipulate the requirements as per ITB 6.2 (f) (Ref criteria 8)
130	BPNM LOGISTICS CC	4,036,905.84 4,510,367.84 4,247,600.94 5,791,220.02 6,299,591.32	No	Only one of the two members signed the Power of Attorney. Thus, not duly Authorised. Signed by an individual not duly authorized as per the unduly completed Power of Attorney (Ref criteria 4, 5 & 6)
131	GOLDEN WEST INVESTMENT	4,652,093.16 4,652,730.56 4,692,369.50 6,920,878.85 7,495,583.12	No	LDV Acquisition is indicated as a purchase with a quotation however, no letter from the bank supporting the attached quote. Though an HLV is listed as accompanied by a motor vehicle license disc, however, no registration certificate is attached. No indication of a trailer on the Asset register No proof of qualifications and no ID attached to the site agent's CV. Bidder only submitted Financial Statements and not Accountant letter.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				The consent letter is attached but refers to Omkalo cc and not the bidder. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 8 & 10)
132	KWAKUTUNGA TRADING ENT	3,719,094.54 3,993,323.46 3,435,635.36 4,158,736.28 4,412,324.55	No	No Fitness certificate nor Municipal Bill attached. Asset Register and Coastal Hire Letter for Tools intended to be hired does not include Pointed Steel rods, Slashers, Bow Saws, Paint, and Wire Brushes and Watering Cans The accountant's letter does not indicate the timely submission of the Financial Statements within one month of compilation as per ITB 6.2 (f) (1, 2&6, 8 & 10)
133	EHENO INVESTMENTS (PTY)	5,332,274.00 5,680,648.22 5,650,375.50 4,625,435.39 4,474,731.31	No	BOQ in the book of supporting documents is not initialised. Letter of consent for the use of CV of Site Agent not initialised, CV not initialised, page 1 of asset register not initialised, and other supporting documents. (Ref criteria 6)
134	TRICKX INVESTMENTS CC	3,970,706.98 3,999,069.29 4,068,351.95 6,182,818.81 6,729,538.84	No	Trailer - quotation attached but no bank reference letter to support the quotation. LDV - letter of intent to hire or rent, however no proof of the relationship between Lessor and the actual owners of the proposed vehicle

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				on the registration certificate and motor vehicle registration certificate. The accountant letter does not indicate the preparation of financial statements, timely submission of financial statements upon compilation, and training relating to best practice bookkeeping principles. No undertaking was provided relating to subcontracting any part of the works. (Ref criteria (2%5, 8 & 9)
135	KABU CONSTRUCTION CC	4,272,573.43 4,395,886.64 4,514,345.29 6,273,260.64 7,449,879.37	No	The complete CC documents are not submitted in full. No procurement Reference number indicated on the SSC good standing certificate. (Ref criteria 2 & 4)
136	TWALONGA PRO- CONSTRUCTION	4,329,559.91 4,421,078.86 4,458,259.74 6,368,408.16 7,028,660.82	No	Provision where maximum combined value not exceeding a certain amount not duly completed in the financial capacity ceiling form. (Ref criteria 3)
137	CHISAFU TRADING CC	4,349,752.56 4,406,087.97 4,604,924.49 7,064,276.50 7,697,507.14	No	The date on the bid submission form is not completed, thus not duly completed. (Ref criteria 1)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
138	SILVERGATE TRADING ENTERPRISE	4,274,088.39 4,504,431.73 4,318,691.03 6,250,158.43 6,404,037.71	No	Quotation attached for required tools, however no bank letter attached relating to the acquisition of the said tools in the attached quotation. The CV of the Site Agent does not meet the minimum educational requirements of Grade 12, instead proposed a Site Agent with a Grade 10 certificate. The accountant's letter does not indicate the timely submission of the Financial Statements within one month of compilation as per ITB 6.2 (f) (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 & 8)
139	SALVATION TRADING ENTERPRISES	3,745,715.74 4,086,913.38 5,730,463.54 6,281,511.53	No	No registration certificate in respect of the Trailer submitted. The accountant's letter does not indicate the timely submission of the Financial Statements within one month of compilation as per ITB 6.2 (f) (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
140	LIVING WATERS CONSTRUCTION	10,071,178.59 9,541,662.24 8,676,440.19 4,987,384.70 4,727,504.87	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
141	ONDJIRAKOMURUNGU CLEANING SERVICES CC	3,709,935.76 3,868,627.61 3,716,661.21 5,729,719.92	No	No mention of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation. (Ref criteria 8)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		5,863,284.78		
142	GABRIEL TALENT SEVEN INVESTMENT CC	- - 3,685,855.80	No	The following documents are not initialled: CC, EEC, Fitness Certificate, Consent for the use of CV, DBN Letter (copy), CV of key personnel, and others. (Ref criteria 6)
143	EYAMBEKO CONSTRUCTION AND MAINTENANCE CC	4,215,096.55 4,420,309.08 4,536,596.39 6,904,800.99 6,942,869.13	No	Bid submission not duly completed. Amounts not filled out in words and numerical. No date, The following documents are not initialled: CC, EEC, certified copy of ID of owner, proof of locality, CV and qualification of key personnel, BOQ (Ref criteria 1&6)
144	MOLETU TRADING CC	6,293,628.00 5,098,316.85 6,473,943.63 7,525,702.58 7,741,477.43	No	Bid submission form not duly completed. Provision for discount not filled in. Following documents not initialled: CC, EEC, Fitness certificate, CV of key personnel (Ref criteria 1&6)
145	PUNCHU TRADING CC	3,968,867.93 3,939,029.36 4,060,689.77 6,182,105.89 6,729,347.45	No	Bidder indicated to lease the Trailer but no letter of intent for hire of trailer. No consent letter attached to CV for the use of CV. No accountant letter, the bidder submitted only financial statements. The attached undertaking does not indicate that No works will be subcontracted, though

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				the bidder indicated that the bidder would employ subcontractors. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 8 & 10)
146	ANGEL AND MICHELLE INVESTMENT CC	4,606,352.03 4,577,171.01 4,718,580.04 7,108,837.63 7,679,819.17	No	BOQ Summary page not signed (page 73 of bidding document) where the provision of signature is made. (Ref criteria 6)
147	STREAM ONE INVESTMENTS CC	4,349,791.05 4,312,023.21 4,550,622.45 6,877,956.17 7,355,437.38	No	No clear indication of an asset register. Tools indicated to be purchased (bank letter has references to the said tools) however no accompanying quotation is attached. More than one CV has been submitted, however, there's no indication of who the site agent is in the personnel forms (Part E2). (Ref Criteria 2&5 & 3&6)
148	SAIGON TRADING CC	4,123,151.19 4,069,957.31 4,150,821.93 6,353,815.56 6,841,376.63	No	Bid submission form not duly completed, the amount in words (4 of 5 contract areas) not completed. One of the two members did not sign power of attorney and thus is not duly authorized. (Ref criteria 1,4&5)
149	KASSIE VIBE TRADING CC	4,072,284.41 4,172,778.59 4,259,742.23 6,265,186.48 7,063,934.52	No	One of the two members did not sign power of attorney and thus is not duly authorized. (Ref criteria 4&5)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
150	GOLDEN ACUES INVESTMENT CC	5,052,345.02 5,037,421.02 4,888,058.67 7,127,810.62 7,670,995.42	No	Bid submission form not duly filled in, provision for discount not indicated (Ref criteria 1)
151	BEETLES TRADING AND CONSTRUCTION CC	4,648,265.95 4,813,899.32 5,005,602.48 7,670,811.01 8,331,645.33	No	No fitness Certificate, no municipal bill submitted. Asset register: both LDV and Trailer do not have vehicle registration certificates. The accountant letter does not indicate the timely submission of financial statements to RA within one month of compilation. No training in principle accounting practices for bookkeeping. (Ref criteria 1, 2&5 & 8)
152	NAAMONGA INVESTMENTS CC	4,035,232.93 3,944,760.20 4,137,474.94 6,224,504.72 6,755,659.93	Yes	N/A
153	MPANDU TRADING ENTERPRISE	141,832.10 1,101,069.50 1,244,431.30 1,476,714.50 1,457,783.10	No	Bid submission form not duly filled in Financial capacity ceiling declaration not duly filled in Bid validity period not indicated (Ref criteria 1, 3 & 8)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
154	MENDOZER INVESTMENT	5,566,141.68 6,337,879.27 5,169,242.05 6,360,970.65 7,643,248.91	Yes	N/A
155	DANKIE JNR INVESTMENT CC	4,416,696.90 4,392,856.71 4,692,415.04 7,024,958.78 7,629,566.67	No	No indication of the tools as there is no Asset register submitted. No Indication of bank financing for the acquisition of the trailer as per the quotation from Zebra The accountant letter does not refer to the requirement to submit financial statements upon compilation within one month thereof omitted from the letter. No declaration to not subcontract any of the works has been submitted. (Ref criteria 2&5, 8 & 9)
156	HELIFLEX TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	4,661,632.27 4,413,085.07 4,489,119.16 6,652,884.00 7,140,064.29	Yes	N/A
157	AMAKALU CONSTRUCTIONS CC	3,969,300.56 3,938,697.08 4,060,707.76 6,182,184.37 6,729,363.70	No	The trailer has no Registration Certificate No consent letter for the use of CV for Site Agent

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				No Accountant letter was submitted (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 & 8)
158	KUNENI INVESTMENTS	4,520,394.65 4,483,335.85 4,662,961.85 6,741,680.52 7,270,282.00	No	Asset register refers to "intent to purchase" 2 quotations have been submitted but no letter from the bank is submitted concerning the said 2 quotations. The accountant letter does not refer to the requirement to submit financial statements upon compilation within one month thereof omitted from the letter. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
159	LOTUS ROAD WORKS CC	4,341,593.85 4,598,411.62 4,725,190.17 6,906,655.10 7,744,567.57	No	Site agent CV, Qualification, and ID not initialled (Ref criteria 6)
160	VIDU TRADING CC	3,871,241.55 3,871,924.65 3,868,994.91 5,704,467.28 6,216,951.29	No	The accountant letter does not refer to the requirement to submit financial statements upon compilation within one month thereof omitted from the letter. No Declaration of the undertaking to not subcontract any parts of the works
161	KWY INVESTMENTS CC	4,289,809.17 4,587,134.77 4,415,006.22 6,358,845.22 6,891,002.89	No	Bid submission for not duly filled in (provision for duly authorized to sign the bid for and on behalf of) not filled in. (Ref criteria 1)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
162	KUNENE RIVER CONSTRUCTION AND ELECTRICAL CC	4,023,694.06 3,993,988.93 4,114,962.64 6,255,692.36 6,814,233.39	No	The accountant letter does not refer to the requirement to submit financial statements upon compilation within one month thereof omitted from the letter. (Ref criteria 8)
163	APRIL TWENTY-FIVE TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	3,964,398.55 3,938,226.34 4,056,393.53 6,136,131.20 6,800,679.59	No	Though the asset register refers to the LDV as being owned however, the bidder submitted a lease for the leasing of the LDV, however, the said lease agreement is not accompanied by the CC document that indicates the member of the said entity that is consenting to lease the said vehicle. (Ref criteria 2&5)
164	MBEYO INVESTMENT CC	3,634,759.92 113,850.00 151,800.00 25,300.00 25,300.00	No	Bid Submission form not duly filled in - discount amount written under the wrong provision. Financial capacity ceiling declaration not signed. The following documents are not initialled: CC, qualification for site agent and CV, bank letter for financial capacity, and BOQ in the book of supporting documents, and the summary page is also not signed. (Ref criteria 1,3, 5 & 6)
165	MD GENERAL DEALER SERVICES CC	3,595,815.63 3,568,390.43 3,639,456.08 5,091,872.22 5,563,448.15	No	No Asset Register attached. No Site Agent is indicated in the personnel forms, And No CV is attached

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				No letter from an Accountant attached No letter to seek reference from bidder's banker No undertaking to not subcontract any works is attached.
166	KAKUMBA SHEBEEN AND TRADING CC	1,610,305.79 3,709,536.60 1,036,312.04 2,106,004.89 1,275,615.88	No	The following documents are not initialled: CC, EEC, Fitness Certificate, Certificate in respect to MV and Trailer, Bank letter, CV, and qualification of site agent (ref criteria 6)
167	LISSY BUILDER & CIVIL WORKS CC	2,385,065.66 2,127,701.41 3,009,756.44 5,117,526.18 5,352,226.64	No	Financial Ceiling declaration not signed. (Ref criteria 3)
168	MAROELA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,258,516.65 4,277,972.44 4,357,699.66 6,470,090.86 7,054,477.01	No	The entire book of supporting documents is not initialled. Incorrect bid validity period indicated "30 days indicated" (Ref criteria 6 & 8)
169	MACC LOGISTICS CC	3,484,395.10 3,778,037.00 3,308,565.10 4,293,290.40 4,287,636.50	No	The entire book of supporting documents is not initialled. Ref criteria 6)
170	CHG INVESTMENT CC	5,072,510.85 5,519,129.22	No	Letter of intent to lease trailer not signed by lessor.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		4,625,425.70 5,988,836.38 5,274,264.18		Letter to seek reference from the bank not signed by the bidder. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 9)
171	SHEENYA INVESTMENTS CC	151,800.00 151,800.00 151,800.00 44,275.00 44,275.00	No	Bidder deemed non-compliant outside of 10% up and 15% down threshold.
172	SHEMUVALULA CONSTRUCTION CC	4,178,864.25 4,155,352.96 4,238,047.28 6,379,071.79 6,734,537.43	No	Cannot confirm if the submitted ID is indeed that of the owner as the page of the CC document to confirm such has been omitted. CC document submitted is incomplete as it does not indicate the ownership of the entity. The said page of the CC documents is missing. (Ref criteria 1 & 2)
173	KTR TRADING CC	3,985,032.27 3,956,502.19 4,267,891.41 6,205,149.65 6,790,174.41	No	Though the asset register refers to the LDV as intended to be leased, the bidder submitted a lease for the leasing of the LDV, however, the said lease agreement is not accompanied by the CC document that indicates the member of the said entity that is consenting to lease the said vehicle. The letter from Accountant does not indicate the timely submission of financial statements within one of the compilations. No training indicated for best practices for bookkeeping. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
174	FARON TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,603,951.31 4,958,640.86 4,885,851.50 5,373,027.41 5,641,212.47	No	No registration certificate for the trailer No bank confirmation No letter from an Accountant (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
175	LULUDREY'S INVESTMENTS CC	3,956,876.83 3,998,957.28 4,181,275.29 6,147,567.82 6,785,183.66	No	The following documents not initialled: CC, EEC, Certified copy of ID of the member, CVs, Plant, and other documents in the book of supporting documents (Ref criteria 6)
176	MUSISI INVESTMENT CC	3,655,361.04 3,667,852.96 3,763,839.60 5,631,713.62 6,122,819.59	No	There is no clear distinction between which is the actual signature, as all documents requiring a signature have different signatures. BOQ Not Signed (Ref criteria 5)
177	FA DEVELOPERS	3,569,364.48 3,515,526.68 3,771,258.48 5,661,452.47 5,738,090.60	No	BOQ in the book of supporting documents not signed. The bidder used a company seal instead of an actual signature as per other parts of the document that requires a signature (Ref criteria 5)
178	WAPONYO TRADING CC	4,101,114.19 4,069,908.12 4,580,167.74 6,388,170.19 6,953,615.30	Yes	N/A
179	GIDION GEOGRE INVESTMENT CC	151,800.00 151,800.00 4,052,145.41 44,275.00	No	Bid submission form not duly filled in, no bid validity period indicated. The following documents have not been

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		44,275.00		initialled: CC, EEC, DBN letter, Fitness Certificate, CV & Qualification of Site Agent, etc. No bid validity period is indicated. Ref criteria 1, 6 & 8)
180	TANGIZO INVESTMENT CC	4,604,068.95 4,673,127.83 4,587,341.35 6,858,842.42 7,465,899.96	Yes	N/A
181	ETN TECHNICAL SERVICES	3,979,010.06 4,158,652.71 4,271,959.40 6,525,184.10 7,103,651.78	No	No watering cans are indicated on the Asset Register The CV of the site Agent attached is that of a foreigner, not a Namibian. Thus not compliant The attached Account letter does not indicate the timely submission of financial statements within one month of compilation as per requirements No indication of training in bookkeeping as required. No undertaking to subcontract any works submitted. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 8 & 10)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
182	EENGHANGO PROJECT INVESTMENT CC	4,151,160.75 4,259,850.31 4,264,270.73 - -	No	Bid submission form not duly filled in: Areas 1 to 3 provision for amounts in words not completed. Provision where maximum combined value not exceeding a certain amount not duly completed; as well as the 10% of the said value on the financial capacity ceiling declaration. Summary Page of BoQ not signed, where provision for signature is required. (Ref criteria 1, 3 & 5)
183	KAMBUNDU TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,501,358.80 4,358,160.80 4,394,666.17 6,644,987.47 7,330,433.64	Yes	N/A
184	AMINY TANGY KAUNA INVESTMENT CC	5,360,197.15 - - - -	No	No indication of the capacity of the individual signing the declaration. The entire Book of supporting documents is not initialled; as well as the BoQ in the Bidding Document. (Ref criteria 1 & 6)
185	LONGEINGE TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	1,739,495.18 - - - -	No	The following documents have not been initialled: CC, EEC, DBN letter, Vehicle Registration, CV & Qualification of Site Agent, etc (Ref criteria 6)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
186	KK CONSTRUCTION CC	4,682,354.49 4,729,311.29 4,829,114.73 6,699,781.93 7,333,750.22	No	Bid securing declaration not Duly filled in: not signed wherein the signature is required. (Ref criteria 2 & 5)
187	CENSIA CLEANING SERVICES	2,091,639.00 1,698,058.00 236,665.00 2,152,081.00 2,091,639.00	No	In the Financial Capacity Ceiling declaration: Provision where maximum combined value not exceeding a certain amount not duly completed; as well as the 10% of the said value. The following documents have not been initialled: CC, ID, EEC, DBN letter, Vehicle Registration, CV & Qualification of Site Agent, etc. (Ref criteria 3 & 6) Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
188	SHAMROCK INVESTMENTS NO 74 CC	4,571,183.53 4,681,096.85 4,811,788.04 7,286,709.33 7,964,587.09	No	Bid Summary: not duly signed. (Ref criteria 5)
189	ADAPTIC INVESTMENT CC	3,694,943.41 3,686,002.10 3,750,547.16 5,667,500.36 6,133,976.20	No	LDV was indicated as a lease, but the lessor did not submit a certified copy of the vehicle registration certificate

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				The trailer indicated to be purchased but no quotation nor an accompanying bank reference letter was submitted. No qualifications were submitted for the Personnel submitted in this case a supervisor. No Bank confirmation The accountant letter does not indicate timely submission of financial statements to RA within one month of compilation. No training for bookkeeping was indicated. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 & 8)
190	ABBLY INVESTMENT CC	3,977,650.78 4,004,553.39 4,128,985.99 6,285,859.80 6,841,714.40	No	Tools provided for on a quotation however, no bank letter to support the quotation. The accountant letter does not refer to the requirements for the timely submission to RA of financial statements within one month of compilation. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
191	KAI ENGINEERING & FABRICATION	4,790,436.09 5,186,672.04 4,573,969.29 6,195,123.40 6,729,304.12	No	Clarification of the CC2 vs CC1 page 3 that contains the membership of the Entity. Page 3 of the CC2 (being the latest amendment) was not submitted as such updated ownership of the entity could not be verified. Following attempts to obtain such clarity from the bidder. (Ref criteria 1 & 2)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
192	GORESEB TRADING CC	5,193,260.16 5,148,302.06 5,090,123.45 7,387,739.75 8,016,140.74	No	Incorrect bid validity indicated. Bidder indicated 90-days. (Ref criteria 8)
193	OFEKA YAMALE INVESTMENT CC	- - - - -	No	Bid submission form not duly filled: dates, amounts in words & numeric; provision for discount & amounts; and Bid Validity Period. Bid securing declaration not Dated. Financial capacity ceiling declaration not duly filled in dates, procurement reference number, Maximum combined value, and the 10% amount of the said, all not indicated. The summary page is not signed; as well as the price adjustment, and summary of the BoQ. The following documents have not been initialled: CC, Plant, DBN letter, CV & Qualification of Site Agent, as well as the entire BoQ, etc (Ref criteria 1, 2, 3, 5 & 6)
194	IIWANA YAHANGANA PAMWE INVESTMENT CC	3,732,424.90 3,630,280.43 3,776,670.30 5,724,884.09 6,212,119.13	No	Proof of ownership for both LDV and Trailer of the lessor not attached to the lease. No CV for the site agent is attached. Although ID, Consent letter to use CV and Qualifications are attached.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				No undertaking was submitted to not subcontract any parts of the works. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 & 10)
195	NAMDRILL & BLAST INVESTMENT CC	3,415,293.17 3,392,389.46 3,352,262.02 4,693,165.48 5,456,352.33	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
196	ORANGE ROAD WORKS CC	4,646,337.66 4,730,275.22 4,914,443.03 6,571,262.18 7,464,299.48	No	All pages of the BOQ that need to be initialised have not been initialised as per ITB clause 21.1 (Ref criteria 6)
197	SOMSEB TRADING ENTERPRISES	4,464,620.83 4,450,393.12 4,577,669.77 4,843,751.16 5,307,444.19	No	The following are not initialised: BoQ & Motor vehicle registration certificate & license disc (Ref criteria 6)
198	MNK TRADING CC	3,658,918.33 3,761,634.53 3,656,743.57 5,263,297.59 5,716,455.80	No	No CV for the site agent is attached. Although ID, Consent letter to use CV and Qualifications are attached. The letter from the accountant submitted does not refer to the timely submission of financial statements upon compilation within one month of the RA (Ref criteria 3&6 & 8)
199	J THREE INVESTMENTS CC	4,731,433.33 4,915,667.93 5,053,890.68 7,355,293.09	No	Maximum combined value and the 10% amount of the said, indicated as not applicable in the financial capacity ceiling declaration. (Ref criteria 3)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		8,016,679.69		
200	CASLANO INVESTMENTS CC	4,432,425.35 4,327,265.37 4,847,456.69 6,941,058.46 7,562,452.49	Yes	N/A
201	FIEVEEY INVESTMENTS CC	16,563,372.38 18,890,200.73 15,225,422.36 19,228,765.22 20,737,465.96	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
202	TIAFELI INVESTMENTS CC	5,474,103.36 8,001,739.68 7,474,295.40 10,060,802.44 9,703,702.31	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
203	REDFORCE INVESTMENT CC	3,486,580.35 3,121,617.73 3,182,517.36 3,786,811.02 4,483,343.05	No	Bid Submission not duly filled in, no date on the first page (Ref criteria 1)
204	NIILOTSE INVESTMENTS CC	4,484,257.01 4,546,071.23 4,589,363.33 6,470,114.25 6,924,497.67	Yes	N/A
205	INFINITY ENGINEERING PRO	4,189,281.53 4,157,445.88 4,286,257.06 6,594,232.61	No	Proof of ownership for both the LDV and the trailer is not attached to the lease agreement.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		7,177,897.28		The letter from the accountant does not indicate any of the 3 requirements set out in ITB 6.2 (f) No undertaking was submitted to not subcontract any parts of the works (Ref criteria 2&5, 8 & 10)
206	MAGUNI INVESTMENTS CC	3,856,587.16 4,064,562.33 4,006,701.61 5,902,934.37 6,365,061.25	No	The Asset register does not indicate the Paint brushes as part of the mandatory tools. (Ref criteria 2&5)
207	CIVILIANS INVESTMENTS CC	4,108,586.43 - - - -	No	Page 3, of the CC documents is missing, thus proof of ownership cannot be confirmed. CC documents not fully submitted. (Ref criteria 1)
208	CASCADES ENTERPRISES CC	3,885,214.74 3,862,798.94 3,964,203.87 5,988,179.46 6,507,429.45	No	The bid submission is not signed, thus not duly filled in. Bid Declaration is not signed. Financial ceiling capacity not signed. The following documents are not signed: Bid submission, Bid declaration, and Financial Ceiling capacity. (Ref criteria 1, 2, 3 & 5)
209	OKAPEKO INVESTMENTS CC	5,273,311.89 6,093,669.85 5,399,051.63	No	No LDV is listed on the Asset Register. The trailer does not have a certificate of registration for MV.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		6,612,067.08 7,274,287.63		No working capital was provided from a reputable bank nor in the form of bank statements. No bank confirmation The letter from Accountant does not include any of the requirements as per ITB 6.2 (f) No letter from bidder to seek reference from bidder's banker No undertaking was submitted to not subcontract any parts of the works (Ref criteria 2&5, 4&7, 8, 9 & 10)
210	KHANKAN TRADING ENTERP	3,968,808.64 3,938,636.42 4,243,391.16 6,182,080.35 6,729,302.61	No	The letter from the accountant submitted does not refer to the timely submission of financial statements upon compilation within one month to the RA. Training on basic principles of bookkeeping not indicated in the letter from the accountant. A letter of undertaking to not subcontract any parts of the works is submitted but not signed by the bidder. (Ref criteria 8 & 10)
211	UTOKOTA TRADING ENTERPRISES	5,648,273.07 5,318,851.89 6,305,119.26	No	Asset Register is missing the following tools: Pointed Steel rods, Slashers, bow saws,

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		6,088,505.85 4,661,277.06		scrubbing brushes, paint & wire brushes, and watering cans. No Site Agent was indicated. Bank letter of intent for Performance Guarantee and not for Working Capital The letter from the accountant does not indicate the timely submission of financial statements to RA within one month of compilation. No indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping. No undertaking was submitted by the bidder to not subcontract any parts of the works.(Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 4&7, 8 & 10)
212	VENIMART INVESTMENTS CC	3,968,808.42 4,557,736.05 4,060,672.16 5,068,230.61 5,464,408.90	No	Trailer, there is an indication of intent to purchase with a valid quotation however no bank letter of intent referencing the said quotations for the acquisition as stipulated in the bidding document. (Ref criteria 2&5)
213	WAPENY INVESTMENTS CC	4,170,865.91 4,014,803.87 4,093,999.32 6,186,591.90 6,787,946.89	No	BOQ summary not signed. (Ref criteria 5)
214	MKHSK INVESTMENTS CC	552,414.00 1,024,320.00 1,394,652.00	No	Bid submission not duly filled in. No amounts in words nor numerals. No discount amounts are indicated.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		437,141.00 156,143.00		Financial capacity ceiling declaration not duly filled in, maximum combined amounts and 10% thereof not indicated. Power of Attorney not signed by 4 of 5 members The individual who signed the document is not duly authorized the following are not initialled: CC, EEC, Certified copies of IDs, BOQ (Ref criteria 1, 3, 4, 5, & 6) Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
215	KAKUWAMBWA TRADING ENT	4,254,954.00 3,315,893.30 5,430,941.01 10,981,787.58 11,403,021.19	No	Financial capacity ceiling declaration not duly filled in, maximum combined amounts and 10% thereof not indicated (Ref criteria 3)
216	EXCEED INVESTMENTS CC	3,867,639.75 4,028,419.75 1,998,396.00 3,781,266.08 3,721,469.00	No	Financial capacity ceiling declaration not duly filled in, the maximum combined value as the bidder has indicated "None" 10% provision indicated as "10% each lot" instead of an amount Bid validity indicated is 120 days which is not

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				in line with the bid requirements (Ref criteria 3 & 8)
217	JA INVESTMENTS CC	4,255,989.28 4,093,158.48 4,173,979.33 6,378,831.27 7,047,917.65	Yes	N/A
218	PRECIOUS & GRACIOUS INVESTMENTS	878,163.00 1,123,540.00 754,125.90 873,863.87 801,445.15	No	Amount in words for 4 of 5 areas not indicated on bid submission form thus not duly filled in (Ref criteria 1)
219	TOP RINEKELA TRADING CC	3,968,917.26 4,187,365.05 4,060,663.92 6,182,083.07 6,729,303.99	No	No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation. No indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping.(Ref criteria 8)
220	UTOPIA INVESTMENTS CC	3,963,573.14 3,896,325.74 3,536,149.88 4,640,418.10 -	No	Power of attorney only signed by one of two members Individual members who signed the bid, are not duly authorized due to the incomplete power of attorney (Ref criteria 4 & 5)
221	GTK TRADING CC	3,817,917.55 3,925,451.15 3,815,625.98 5,500,573.72 5,974,298.48	No	No Motor Vehicle license disc for LDV No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA "within one month of compilation." (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
222	C U AND RG INVESTMENT CC	4,182,997.76 4,169,378.27 4,130,187.30 5,953,225.76 6,528,366.46	No	Bid submission form not duly filled in, in that the bid submission is not correctly duplicated as the clauses are incorrectly copied in the book of supporting documents. The bidder has adopted the bid submission of development contractor and is referring to themselves as a subcontractor. (Ref criteria 1)
223	NASWE TRADING ENTERPRISES	3,977,968.50 4,032,506.50 4,061,415.60 6,182,739.75 6,729,852.25	No	BOQ reproduced but not signed (Ref criteria 5)
224	BECKY INVESTMENT CC	3,968,811.00 3,938,816.51 4,060,747.53 6,182,106.33 6,729,361.68	No	All tools are not indicated on the asset register No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation. No indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping. No undertaking letter was submitted to NOT subcontract any parts of the works. (Ref criteria (2&5, 8 & 10)
225	NEDEKA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	3,416,674.93 3,392,416.53 3,352,182.32 4,692,078.64 5,455,505.94	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
226	NARIRONGO INVESTMENTS CC	4,045,720.47 3,872,663.41 3,990,423.53 5,963,874.97 6,454,116.15	No	BOQ in the book of supporting documents is not initialised (Ref criteria 6)
227	GNT INVESTMENT CC	3,798,117.38 3,905,103.14 3,795,805.47 5,472,474.55 5,944,219.07	No	Incorrect procurement reference number on the Social Security Good Standing certificate. (Ref criteria 4).
228	G KAY INVESTMENTS CC	5,289,550.70 5,684,377.44 4,444,829.24 4,591,968.60 4,939,317.61	No	The procurement reference number sited on the Social Security Good Standing is incorrect as it refers to "General" and not "W/ONB/RA-06/2022" (Ref criteria 4)
229	NANGUEI TRADING CC	4,304,023.62 4,548,783.85 4,452,152.55 9,623,863.92 8,692,727.91	No	BOQ summary not signed & BOQ not initialised (Ref criteria 5 & 6)
230	OUO'S TRADING CC	4,699,760.10 4,674,463.72 4,790,998.65 7,317,713.16 7,950,182.14	No	No paint brushes are listed on the Asset Register LDV owned but no motor vehicle license disc was submitted. The trailer is indicated as "owned" but no registration certificate of ownership to confirm. The site agent's CV indicates only slightly over 1 year of related experience from Feb 2021 to

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				the date of submission. Thus, not meeting the required minimum of two (2) years. No letter from an accountant. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6 & 8)
231	NORTH FACE INVESTMENT CC	3,968,852.31 3,988,809.83 4,060,747.38 6,182,179.83 6,729,329.00	No	No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation. No indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping. No letter was submitted to not subcontract any parts of the works. (Ref criteria 8 & 10)
232	XOLELA INVESTMENTS CC	4,724,193.10 5,398,337.85 - - -	No	The following documents have not been initialised: CC, EEC, certified ID copy of owner, Plant in respect of motor vehicle registration and licensing, Fitness Certificate, and other affiliated documents in the book of supporting documents. (Ref criteria 6)
233	NGHIYALAVANHU INVESTMENT CC	2,773,533.50 - - - -	No	The following documents are not initialised: CC, Fitness Certificate, CV for site agent and qualification, vehicle registration certificate, and other affiliated documents (Ref criteria 6)
234	INSOMNIA INVESTMENTS CC	5,932,317.98 4,969,820.66 6,421,514.50 7,184,982.34	No	EEC certificate was issued on 15 September 2021 thus documents expired before the initial closing date of 16 September 2022 and well

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		-		before the extended closing date of the bid of 27 October 2022 (Ref criteria 5)
235	GTA TECHNICAL SERVICES CC	3,968,814.87 3,939,270.85 4,060,670.61 6,182,881.05 6,729,467.42	No	No Asset Register submitted thus no tools listed No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation. No indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping. No letter was submitted to not subcontract any parts of the works. (Ref criteria 2&5, 8 & 10)
236	SHAPAM TRADING CC	5,303,271.90 5,104,752.28 5,304,042.28 7,799,153.65 8,471,890.77	No	Incorrect procurement reference number on the SSC Good Standing Certificate (Ref criteria 4)
237	MEDLINE INDUSTRIES CC	4,259,530.77 4,291,560.57 4,522,395.24 6,717,836.90 7,338,696.37	No	The following tools are not indicated on the Asset Register: Pointed steel rods, Slashers, Wire and steel brushes, and scrubbing brushes. LDV - There is an intent to purchase, however, there is no letter from the bank attached referencing the said quotation. No Site Agent listed thus no CV for Site Agent submitted.

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				No letter was submitted regarding seeking a reference from the bidder's banker.
				No letter was submitted to not subcontract any parts of the works. (Ref criteria 2&5, 3&6, 9 & 10)
				LDV is indicated as a lease, but no CC documents submitted for the lessor as the LDV is to be leased from a CC and thus cannot establish ownership of the lessor.
				No asset register, thus no tools listed.
238	BJARKE INGELS INVESTMENT GROUP CC	4,505,228.18 4,910,610.08 4,375,997.04 5,565,266.36 6,022,883.85	No	No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation.
				No indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping.
				No letter was submitted regarding seeking a reference from a banker.
				No letter was submitted to not subcontract any parts of the works. (Ref criteria 2&5, 8, 9 & 10)
239	REALITY INVESTMENTS CC	4,221,595.95 4,654,605.95 4,060,698.07 6,182,090.50 6,778,430.40	No	EEC Certificate submitted has an issue date of 24 August 2021, while the certificate is due to expire on 24 August 2022 which is well before the closing date of this bid of 27 October 2022 (Ref criteria 5)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
240	STEWE INVESTMENT CC	4,254,308.85 3,691,219.40 3,778,078.10 3,858,008.39 4,086,997.42	No	In the proposed equipment form, Trailer is indicated to be leased, however, no lease or intent to lease agreement is submitted by the owner of the trailer. (Ref criteria 2&5)
241	GWANDOMBWE TRADING CC	4,131,805.87 3,966,028.00 4,065,744.79 6,175,512.99 6,755,462.55	No	No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation. (Ref criteria 8)
242	LUBENGWE INVESTMENTS CC	4,329,144.73 4,351,099.06 4,443,623.18 6,326,227.05 6,939,138.27	No	Document submitted for Income Tax Good standing is not in an original form nor is it certified by a commissioner of oath. Document reproduced. Document submitted for Social Security Good standing is not in an original form nor is it certified by a commissioner of oath. Document reproduced. Document submitted for Employment Equity Commission is not certified by a commissioner of oath. Document reproduced. (Ref criteria 3, 4 & 5)
243	AGROS INVESTMENTS CC	1,577,920.31 1,739,495.18 2,102,164.36 4,667,294.03 4,160,062.56	No	No watering cans are listed on the asset register. The minimum of 2 years of work experience not highlighted in the CV, no evidence of

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				relevant works experience. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 3&6) Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
244	OMALENGA CONSTRUCTIONS CC	3,368,479.95 3,681,086.75 3,633,067.35 4,938,786.56 5,255,482.35	No	Tools not listed on asset register: Pointed steel rods, scrubbing brushes, buckets, paint and wire brushes, bow saws, and slashers. (Ref criteria 2&5)
245	A.B.T.C INVESTMENTS CC	4,361,425.00 3,851,907.04 4,058,179.46 4,496,611.06 5,834,347.61	No	Bid submission form not duly filled in, amounts in words not filled in, discount column not completed. No power of attorney is provided, and thus not authorized to sign the bid. Individual signing not duly authorized as PoA not submitted. (Ref criteria 1, 4 & 5)
246	FRALEE TRADING CC	5,129,992.96 5,051,065.05 5,239,843.03 7,662,783.12 8,405,236.14	No	Good Standing Certificate for Tax, not issued in the name of the bidder. (Ref criteria 3)
247	T & S GLOBAL TRADINGS CC	3,968,834.76 3,938,767.35 4,060,694.63 6,182,095.91 6,182,095.91	No	No letter of undertaking to NOT subcontract any parts of the works has been submitted. (Ref criteria 10)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
248	NAMALWA TRADING CC	3,076,975.25 2,459,982.25 2,538,217.44 3,526,253.83 3,736,792.92	No	Bidder's prices falls outside of the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15.
249	WAPEKA CLEANING MATERIALS	72,498,351.75 83,683,987.75 71,776,542.75 106,807,325.97 115,231,316.75	No	The following documents are not initiated: CC, EEC, MV Registration, CV, Letter from the accountant. (Ref criteria 6)
250	JAMES & YOUNG TRADING	4,250,137.39 4,181,118.99 4,285,309.45 6,403,711.56 6,987,501.50	Yes	N/A
251	TABRIMO INVESTMENTS CC	3,938,514.76 4,078,898.89 4,020,946.71 5,849,816.92 6,312,685.98	No	No Paint brushes are listed in the asset register. CV consent letter addressed to Roads Authority and not to the Bidder as required. No relevant works experience No required qualifications are attached. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 3&6)
252	THE PYRAMID INVESTMENTS	4,379,393.77 7,107,999.47 - - -	No	The duplicated bid submission has no above date, nor is there a provision for signature. The bid submission is not signed (ref criteria 1)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
253	N.M.F. INVESTMENTS	5,104,624.47 4,476,436.36 3,990,725.54 4,607,616.58 4,352,728.67	No	Bidder submitted proof of residence from Kavango East Regional Councilor No indication of small tools LDV that was submitted is not in the name of the bidders nor is there a lease or letter of intent. Trailer - only license disc submitted and not in the name of the bidder. Site agent not indicated in the bidding forms, only Supervisor. No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation. No indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping. No letter of consent was submitted. No undertaking to not subcontract any works submitted. (Ref criteria 1, 2&5, 3&6, 8, 9 & 10)
254	JOSMIA TRADING ENTERPRISE	3,971,000.03 3,939,175.39 4,054,445.93 6,182,224.29 6,729,410.85	No	The proof of ownership ID submitted is not an originally certified copy. The bidder submitted a copy of a Certified ID copy. (Ref criteria 1)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
255	LIKORERE TRADING ENTERPRISE	4,420,465.49 4,999,316.84 4,934,795.39 19,592,308.00 15,695,362.18	No	All pages in the book of supporting documents have not been initialised. BOQs in the book of supporting documents (all pages thereof) have not been initialised (Ref criteria 6)
256	EIGHT POINT FIVE INVESTMENT	4,307,929.33 4,291,118.75 4,399,114.22 6,678,500.16 7,456,185.20	No	The following documents are not initiated; CC, Plant in respect of MV registration certificate, the ID of site agent, CV, Fitness certificate, DBN letter, EEC, and other affiliated documents. (Ref criteria 6)
257	SHILIMELA CONSTRUCTION	4,360,000.00 4,200,080.00 4,311,846.00 6,658,078.00 7,100,000.00	No	Financial capacity ceiling declaration, maximum combined value not completed nor the 10% provision thereof. (Ref criteria 6)
258	MWANDI CONSTRUCTION	4,712,646.18 4,989,124.58 4,798,489.08 7,156,794.20 7,553,559.90	No	No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation.
259	PEKWAFO TRADING ENTERPRISES	2,039,274.88 2,067,793.04 2,116,522.86 2,604,632.47 2,746,896.14	No	Bid submission not duly filled in: amounts in words not completed and numerical, no bid validity period. The bid summary is not signed though a provision for signature is made. The following documents are not initiated; CC, Plant in respect of MV registration certificate,

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				the ID of site agent, CV, Fitness certificate, DBN letter, EEC, and other affiliated documents. The bid validity period stated is 90 days thus no complaint to requirements (Ref criteria 1, 5, 6 & 8)
260	EETUNDI INVESTMENTS CC	4,100,965.80 3,968,950.40 4,071,638.04 6,325,963.34 6,630,752.90	No	No site agent is listed in the personnel forms; the bidder listed a Project Manager. No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation. No indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping. (Ref criteria 3&6 & 8)
261	NAHAFA INVESTMENTS CC	3,486,580.35 3,121,777.12 3,182,517.36 6,056,155.29 7,550,034.97	No	Bid submission form, no date provided where the date is provisioned. Page 67 of BOQ attached to the book of supporting documents is not initiated. Additionally, SSC GS is not initiated. (Ref criteria 1, & 6)
262	BLUE LEAF TRADING CC	3,970,256.90 4,261,586.40 4,361,733.92 6,209,913.29 6,719,586.64	No	Trailer - no registration certificate submitted and no company registration certificate for the lessor to establish ownership (Ref criteria 2&5)
263	GAME DOWN INVESTMENTS CC	4,001,086.10 3,967,204.85	No	Asset register refers to the intent to purchase while the quotation has no Paint Brushes

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		4,072,627.17 6,253,701.79 6,889,157.83		indicated, and no bank letter referencing the said quotation for the tools. No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
264	JONPET TRADING CC	3,990,784.95 3,960,633.43 4,083,218.86 6,250,741.69 6,870,896.29	No	Asset register refers to the intent to purchase while the quotation has no Paint Brushes indicated, and no bank letter referencing the said quotation for the tools. No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
265	MANIC INVESTMENTS CC	4,066,277.23 4,191,588.13 4,310,090.80 6,615,651.92 7,344,796.70	No	Bid submission not duly filled in, bid validity not provided. Financial Ceiling capacity declaration not duly filled in, maximum combined value nor the 10% thereof is provided. Bid validity not filled in. (Ref criteria 1, 3 & 8)
266	MA-NIC INVESTMENTS CC	4,343,445.38 4,300,696.10 4,408,722.24 6,443,408.08 7,322,300.75	No	Bid submission not duly filled in amounts in words not completed. Financial Ceiling capacity declaration not duly filled in, maximum combined value nor the 10% thereof is provided. The following documents are not initialled: CC,

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
				Plant in respect of MV registration certificate, the ID of site agent, CV, Fitness certificate, DBN letter, EEC, and other affiliated documents. The bid validity indicated is 90 days and not the required 180 days. (Ref criteria 1, 3, 6 & 8) The lease agreement provided does not meet the requirements of it not being older than 6 months while the submitted lease is 4 months old. LDV & Trailer are listed as to be leased, however, the License discs submitted are not valid. (Ref criteria 1 & 2&5)
267	EVESH INVESTMENTS CC	3,927,393.08 3,848,567.29 3,871,629.19 6,140,325.53 6,463,671.83	No	
268	NAMBALANENE TRADING CC	4,557,838.69 4,670,453.38 4,940,465.10 7,604,183.46 8,286,811.20	No	Bid submission not duly completed, bid validity omitted. Bid submission not provided. (Ref criteria 1 & 8)
269	NATANGO INVESTMENTS	3,694,634.90 4,101,546.19 4,048,909.54 5,811,574.53 6,343,023.52	No	No lease agreement is provided for as per BDS 6.2 a (revised in notice 3) requiring the bidder to submit a lease agreement accompanied by a municipal bill in the name of the lessor not older than 6 months and 2 months respectively. (Ref criteria 1)
270	OBRIEN & FORTUNE INVESTMENTS CC	3,970,179.48 4,252,476.88 4,310,006.55	No	Asset Register does not include Paint Brushes

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		6,184,587.40 6,730,504.61		Letter from Accountant has No indication of the timely submission of financial statements to the RA within one month of compilation & no indication of training on the principles of bookkeeping (Ref criteria 2&5 & 8)
271	MBITJITA CLEANING SERVICES CC	754,578.00 891,506.00 811,107.00 947,144.00 954,745.00	No	Bid submission not duly filled in. The bid securing declaration is not filled in. The financial capacity ceiling declaration is not filled in BOQ is not initialed in the book of supporting documents. Bid validity omitted. (Ref criteria 1, 2, 3, 6 & 8)
272	TUTWENU TRADING ENTERPRISES	4,742,802.77 4,745,471.67 4,371,760.31 5,070,825.54 5,571,434.82	No	No small tools are listed in the asset register, LDV - quotation attached, no bank letter to extend credit attached for the acquisition of the LDV. Trailer - in the name of a lessor (entity) no documents are attached to prove ownership. No undertaking to not subcontract any works attached. (Ref criteria 2&5 & 10)
273	PONOKA TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	3,985,346.57 4,045,842.31 4,099,762.03 6,186,783.04	No	Bid submission is not duly filled in, the discount provision is not completed. (Ref criteria 1)

BIDDER'S NUMBER	BIDDER'S NAME	PRICING AT BID OPENING N\$	RESPONSIVE OR NOT RESPONSIVE (YES/ NO)	REASONS WHY BID IS NOT RESPONSIVE
		6,730,496.93		
274	NAKUPE INVESTMENT NUMBER TWO CC	3,890,218.37 4,170,147.58 4,113,279.01 5,763,852.10 -	No	No Paint brushes are listed on the asset register (Ref criteria 2&5)

8. Price comparison for bids that are substantially responsive per Contract Area

a. Contract Area Run-01: NB notes at the bottom of table

Complete Name Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (N\$)	Corrected Price after Arithmetic Correction (N\$)	Within +1 or -1 of STD. DEV	Apply 5% Price Preference	Adjusted after price preference (N\$)	Ranking
NAMSHINE INVESTMENT CC	4,367,619.96	4,367,619.96	YES	YES	4,149,238.96	9
SMEK TRADING ENTERPRISES	4,193,496.25	4,193,496.25	YES	YES	3,983,821.44	4
AMPROMA INVESTMENT CC	3,694,631.90	3,811,014.90	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NPE TRADING CC	4,553,237.58	4,553,237.58	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NDAHOMENWA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,097,784.38	4,097,773.28	YES	NO	4,097,773.28	7
ESTADIO INVESTMENT CC	3,926,762.40	3,926,762.40	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
COLTAN INVESTMENTS CC	4,106,904.98	4,106,904.98	YES	YES	3,901,559.73	3
NOBLE MARU INVESTMENTS CC	4,359,938.12	4,359,938.12	YES	YES	4,141,941.21	8
NEERU INVESTMENTS CC	3,968,539.31	3,968,539.31	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
FILLEMON INVESTMENTS & TRUCKS CC	3,968,880.45	3,968,880.45	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
REX REGIS TRADING CC	4,038,813.57	4,038,813.57	YES	NO	4,038,813.57	6
NAAMONGA INVESTMENTS CC	4,035,232.93	4,035,232.93	YES	YES	3,833,471.29	1
HELIFLEX TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	4,661,632.27	4,661,632.27	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
WAPONYO TRADING CC	4,101,114.19	4,101,114.19	YES	YES	3,896,058.48	2

TANGIZO INVESTMENT CC	4,604,068.95	4,604,068.95	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
KAMBUNDU TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,501,358.80	4,501,358.80	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
CASLANO INVESTMENTS CC	4,210,804.06	4,210,804.06	YES	YES	4,210,804.06	10
NILOTSE INVESTMENTS CC	4,484,257.01	4,484,257.01	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
JA INVESTMENTS CC	4,255,989.28	4,255,989.28	YES	NO	4,255,989.28	11
JAMES & YOUNG TRADING	4,250,137.39	4,250,137.39	YES	YES	4,037,630.52	5

NB: The bidders' prices do not fall within the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15 for Area – 01: (20) Kavango Fencing and Irrigation cc, (28) Laureth Trading Enterprises cc, (90) Zhylem Trading Enterprises cc, (154) Mendoza Investment

b. Contract Area RUN-02

Complete Name Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (N\$)	Corrected Price after Arithmetic Correction (N\$)	Within +1 or -1 of STD. DEV	Apply 5% Price Preference	Adjusted after price preference (N\$)	Ranking
NAMSHINE INVESTMENT CC	4,201,904.96	4,201,904.96	YES	YES	3,991,809.71	5
SMEK TRADING ENTERPRISES	4,195,210.07	4,195,210.07	YES	YES	3,985,449.57	4
AMPROMA INVESTMENT CC	4,101,546.19	4,217,926.19	YES	YES	4,007,029.88	7
NPE TRADING CC	4,478,687.97	4,478,687.97	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NDAHOMENWA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	3,971,662.53	3,971,671.18	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
COLTAN INVESTMENTS CC	4,087,785.26	4,087,785.26	YES	YES	3,883,396.00	2

NOBLE MARU INVESTMENTS CC	4,336,793.68	4,336,793.68	YES	YES	4,119,954.00	10
NEERU INVESTMENTS CC	3,934,170.24	3,934,170.24	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
DELTA ENGINEERING SUPPLIES CC	4,205,899.32	4,205,899.32	YES	YES	3,995,604.36	6
IMMACULATE INVESTMENTS CC	3,709,857.91	3,709,857.91	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
FILLEMONT INVESTMENTS & TRUCKS CC	3,938,714.25	3,938,714.25	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
REX REGIS TRADING CC	4,362,602.97	4,362,602.97	YES	NO	4,362,602.97	12
NAAMONGA INVESTMENTS CC	3,944,760.28	3,944,760.28	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
HELIFLEX TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	4,413,085.07	4,413,085.07	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
WAPONYO TRADING CC	4,069,908.13	4,069,902.29	YES	YES	3,866,407.17	1
KAMBUNDU TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,358,160.80	4,358,160.80	YES	NO	4,358,160.80	11
CASLANO INVESTMENTS CC	4,327,265.37	4,327,265.37	YES	YES	4,110,092.10	9
NILOTSE INVESTMENTS CC	4,546,071.23	4,546,071.23	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
JA INVESTMENTS CC	4,093,158.48	4,093,158.48	YES	NO	4,093,158.48	8
JAMES& YOUNG TRADING	4,181,118.99	4,181,118.99	YES	YES	3,972,063.04	3

NB: The bidders' prices do not fall within the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15 for Area – 02 - (28) Laureth Trading Enterprises cc, (90) Zhylem Trading Enterprises cc, (154) Mendozer Investment; (180) Tangizo Investment cc

c. Contract Area RUN-03

Complete Name Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (N\$)	Corrected Price after Arithmetic Correction (N\$)	Within +1 or -1 of STD. DEV	Apply 5% Price Preference	Adjusted after price preference (N\$)	Ranking
NAMSHINE INVESTMENT CC	4,081,810.92	4,081,810.92	YES	YES	3,877,720.37	2
SMEK TRADING ENTERPRISES	4,217,858.63	4,217,858.63	YES	YES	4,006,965.70	7
KAVANGO FENCING & IRRIGATION CC	4,474,350.54	4,474,350.54	YES	YES	4,250,633.01	12
AMPROMA INVESTMENT CC	4,048,909.54	4,165,289.54	YES	YES	3,957,025.06	5
NPE TRADING CC	4,598,152.55	4,598,152.55	YES	YES	4,368,244.92	17
NDAHOMENWA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,118,297.18	4,118,242.30	YES	NO	4,118,242.30	9
ESTADIO INVESTMENT CC	4,188,560.48	4,188,560.48	YES	YES	3,979,132.45	6
COLTAN INVESTMENTS CC	3,898,569.35	4,079,677.37	YES	YES	3,875,693.50	1
NOBLE MARU INVESTMENTS CC	4,432,878.02	4,432,878.02	YES	YES	4,211,234.12	11
DELTA ENGINEERING SUPPLIES CC	4,156,461.61	4,156,461.61	YES	YES	3,948,638.53	4
FILLEMOM INVESTMENTS & TRUCKS CC	4,060,685.37	4,060,685.37	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
REX REGIS TRADING CC	4,338,656.52	4,338,656.52	YES	NO	4,338,656.52	14

NAAMONGA INVESTMENTS CC	4,137,474.94	4,137,474.94	YES	YES	3,930,601.19	3
MENDOZER INVESTMENT	5,169,242.05	5,169,242.05	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
HELIFLEX TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	4,489,119.16	4,489,119.16	YES	YES	4,264,663.20	13
WAPONYO TRADING CC	\$5,167,846.26	5167,846.26	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
TANGIZO INVESTMENT CC	4,587,341.35	4,587,341.35	YES	YES	4,357,974.28	15
KAMBUNDU TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	4,394,666.17	4,394,666.17	YES	NO	4,394,666.17	18
CASLANO INVESTMENTS CC	\$4,847,456.70	\$4,847,456.70	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NILOTSE INVESTMENTS CC	4,589,363.33	4,589,363.33	YES	YES	4,359,895.16	16
JA INVESTMENTS CC	4,173,979.33	4,173,979.33	YES	NO	4,173,979.33	10
JAMES& YOUNG TRADING	4,285,309.45	4,285,309.45	YES	YES	4,071,043.97	8

NB: The bidders' prices do not fall within the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15 for Area – 02 - (28) Laureth Trading Enterprises cc, (87) Neeru Investments cc, (90) Zhylem Trading Enterprises cc, (110) Immaculate Investments cc

d. Contract Area RUN-04

Complete Name Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (N\$)	Corrected Price after Arithmetic Correction (N\$)	Within +1 or -1 of STD. DEV	Apply 5% Price Preference	Adjusted after price preference (N\$)	Ranking
NAMSHINE INVESTMENT CC	6,182,194.78	6,182,194.78	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
SMEK TRADING ENTERPRISES	6,325,038.62	6,306,063.62	YES	YES	5,990,760.44	5
KAVANGO FENCING & IRRIGATION	6,739,132.47	6,739,132.47	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
LAURETH TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	6,212,134.29	6,212,134.29	YES	YES	5,901,527.58	1
DINALEDI INVESTMENT CC	6,188,744.67	6,188,744.67	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NPE TRADING CC	6,861,391.40	6,861,391.40	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NDAHOMENWA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	6,270,546.19	6,270,471.00	YES	NO	6,270,471.00	12
COLTAN INVESTMENTS CC	5,943,218.49	6,215,028.14	YES	YES	5,904,276.73	2
NOBLE MARU INVESTMENTS CC	6,472,446.62	6,351,639.12	YES	YES	6,034,057.17	6
DELTA ENGINEERING SUPPLIES CC	6,548,501.92	6,548,501.92	YES	YES	6,221,076.82	10
ZHYLEM TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	6,262,135.61	6,262,135.61	YES	YES	5,949,028.83	4
FILLEMON INVESTMENTS & TRUCKS CC	6,182,092.71	6,182,092.71	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
REX REGIS TRADING CC	6,265,108.32	6,265,108.32	YES	NO	6,265,108.32	11
NAAMONGA INVESTMENTS CC	6,224,504.72	6,224,504.72	YES	YES	5,913,279.48	3
MENDOZER INVESTMENT	6,360,970.65	6,360,970.65	YES	NO	6,360,970.65	14

HELIFLEX TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	6,652,884.96	6,652,884.96	YES	YES	6,320,240.72	13
WAPONYO TRADING CC	6,388,170.19	6,388,170.19	YES	YES	6,068,761.68	7
TANGIZO INVESTMENT CC	6,858,842.42	6,858,842.42	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
KAMBUNDU TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	6,644,987.47	6,644,987.47	YES	NO	6,644,987.47	16
CASLANO INVESTMENTS CC	6,941,058.46	6,950,045.25	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NILOTSE INVESTMENTS CC	6,470,114.25	6,470,114.25	YES	YES	6,146,608.53	9
JA INVESTMENTS CC	6,378,831.27	6,378,831.27	YES	NO	6,378,831.27	15
JAMES& YOUNG TRADING	6,403,711.56	6,403,711.56	YES	YES	6,083,525.99	8

NB: The bidders' prices do not fall within the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15 for Area – 02 - (36) Amprroma Investment cc, (87) Neeru Investments cc, (110) Immaculate Investments cc

e. Contract Area RUN-05

Complete Name Bidder	Price at Bid Opening (N\$)	Corrected Price after Arithmetic Correction (N\$)	Within +1 or -1 of STD. DEV	Apply 5% Price Preference	Adjusted after price preference (N\$)	Ranking
NAMSHINE INVESTMENT CC	6,738,193.28	6,738,193.28	YES	YES	6,401,283.61	2
SMEK TRADING ENTERPRISES	6,804,501.79	6,785,526.79	YES	YES	6,446,250.45	5
KAVANGO FENCING & IRRIGATION	6,739,132.47	6,739,132.47	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
LAURETH TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	6,781,289.07	6,781,289.07	YES	YES	6,442,224.61	4
DINALEDI INVESTMENT CC	6,729,633.02	6,729,633.02	YES	NO	6,729,633.02	13
NPE TRADING CC	7,486,266.46	7,486,266.46	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NDAHOMENWA TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	6,741,114.18	6,741,064.74	YES	NO	6,741,064.74	15
NOBLE MARU INVESTMENTS CC	7,033,003.42	6,912,195.92	YES	YES	6,566,586.13	7
NEERU INVESTMENTS CC	6,791,865.71	6,791,840.41	YES	YES	6,452,248.39	6
DELTA ENGINEERING SUPPLIES CC	6,997,685.51	6,997,685.51	YES	YES	6,647,801.23	11
ZHYLEM TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	6,729,303.84	6,729,303.84	YES	YES	6,392,838.65	1
FILLEMON INVESTMENTS & TRUCKS CC	6,729,317.96	6,729,317.96	YES	NO	6,729,317.96	12
REX REGIS TRADING CC	6,738,934.57	6,738,934.57	YES	NO	6,738,934.57	14

NAAMONGA INVESTMENTS CC	6,755,659.93	6,755,659.93	YES	YES	6,417,876.93	3
MENDOZER INVESTMENT	7,643,248.91	7,643,248.91	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
HELIFLEX TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	7,140,064.29	7,140,064.29	YES	YES	6,783,061.08	16
WAPONYO TRADING CC	6,953,615.30	6,953,615.30	YES	YES	6,605,934.53	9
TANGIZO INVESTMENT CC	7,465,899.96	7,465,899.96	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
KAMBUNDU TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	7,330,433.64	7,330,433.64	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
CASLANO INVESTMENTS CC	7,573,533.78	7,573,533.78	NO	N/A	N/A	N/A
NILOTSE INVESTMENTS CC	6,924,497.67	6,924,497.67	YES	YES	6,578,272.78	8
JA INVESTMENTS CC	7,047,917.65	7,047,917.65	YES	YES	7,047,917.65	17
JAMES & YOUNG TRADING	6,987,501.50	6,987,501.50	YES	YES	6,638,126.42	10

NB: The bidders' prices do not fall within the Public Entity's price envelope (10% up and 15% down) as per Clause 52 (12) of the Public Procurement Act of 2015 No. 15 for Area – 02 - (36) Amproma Investment cc, (57) Coltan Investments cc

9. Best Evaluated Bid per area:

Area: LITTER RUN-01

Bidder No	Bidder Name	Bidder Price N\$	COMMENTS
152	NAMONGA INVESTMENT CC	4,035,232.93	RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD

Area: LITTER RUN-02

Bidder No	Bidder Name	Bidder Price N\$	COMMENTS
178	WAPONYO TRADING CC	4,069,902.29	RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD

Area: LITTER RUN-03

Bidder No	Bidder Name	Bidder Price N\$	COMMENT
57	COLTAN INVESTMENTS CC	4,079,677.37	RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD

Area: LITTER RUN-04

Bidder No	Bidder Name	Bidder Price N\$	COMMENT
28	LAURETH TRADING ENTERPRISES CC	6,212,134.29	RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD

Area: LITTER RUN-05

Bidder No	Bidder Name	Bidder Price N\$	COMMENT
90	ZHYLEM TRADING ENTERPRISE CC	6,729,303.51	RECOMMENDED FOR AWARD